

**REMARKS**

A review of the claims indicates that:

A) Claims 12, 15—18, 22—26, 32, 33, 35 and 36 remain in their original form.

B) Claims 1—10, 13, 19, 21, 27, 28, 30, 31 and 34 are previously presented.

C) Claims 14, 20 and 29 are cancelled.

D) Claims 11, 13 currently amended.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims and withdrawal of the rejections. Alternatively, the Applicant would welcome the opportunity to speak with the Examiner concerning the claims and prior art, and work together to advance prosecution.

**Specification**

The Examiner objected to the Specification. It is believed that the amendments have resolved this issue. If not, please feel free to call so that we may resolve the matter.

**Traversal of the §103 Rejections**

Claims 1-13, 15-19, 21-28 and 30-36—including independent claims 1, 11, 19, 27 and 31—were rejected under Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over “McManis” (US 5,970,145) in view of “Abadi” (US 7,203,833). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection using Section 103(c).

**Traversal of Rejections Based on Section 103(c)**

The Applicant notes that all of the independent claims were rejected under Section 103 based in part on the Abadi reference, which is assigned to Microsoft Corporation. The Applicant respectfully directs the Office to 35 U.S.C. § 103(c), which states: Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f), and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

The Abadi reference is assigned to Microsoft (Reel/Frame 013832/0309, recordation date 03/12/2003) and has a filing date of 12/23/2002. The present application is also assigned to Microsoft Corporation at (Reel/Frame 015040/0513, recordation date 03/01/2004) and has a filing date of 03/01/2004. Therefore, the present application and Abadi were, at the time the invention of the present application was made, owned by Microsoft Corporation.

Moreover, Abadi is unavailable as prior art under Sections 102(a) and/or 102(b) in view of its failure to publish before the filing of the instant application. Accordingly, Abadi is subject to removal from a Section 103 rejection by Section 103(c).

The following statement is made pursuant to MPEP § 706(II).

**MPEP §706.02(II)—Statement of evidence to establish common ownership**

Applications and references (whether patents, patent applications, patent application publications, etc.) will be considered by the examiner to be owned by,

1 or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person, at the time the  
2 invention was made, if the applicant(s) or an attorney or agent of record makes a  
3 statement to the effect that the application and the reference were, at the time the  
4 invention was made, owned by, or subject to an obligation of assignment to, the  
5 same person.

6 In this case, the Applicant's attorney does, in fact, hereby make the  
7 statement that this application and the reference were, at the time the invention of  
8 the application was made, owned by, or subject to an obligation of assignment to,  
9 the same person (i.e. Microsoft Corporation).

10 In view of the above, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be  
11 withdrawn, and that claims 1-13, 15-19, 21-28 and 30-36 be allowed to issue.

#### 12 **Amendment of Claim 11**

13 In the interests of promoting prosecution of this application, the Applicant  
14 has made some amendments to Claim 11. The Applicant invites the Examiner to  
15 call the undersigned representative, and to discuss Claim 11 (and the other claims)  
16 in view of the prior art to resolve any issues preventing allowance of the current  
17 and/or amended claims.

#### 18 **Conclusion**

19 The Applicant submits that all of the claims are in condition for allowance  
20 and respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowability be issued. If the Office's  
21 next anticipated action is not the issuance of a Notice of Allowability, the  
22 Applicant respectfully requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted for the  
23 purpose of scheduling an interview.

24 Respectfully Submitted,  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Dated: 08 October 2008

By: /David S. Thompson/  
David S. Thompson  
Reg. No. 37,954  
Attorney for Applicant

LEE & HAYES PLLC  
Suite 500  
421 W. Riverside Avenue  
Spokane, Washington 99201

Telephone: 509-324-9256 x235  
Facsimile: (509) 323-8979